

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/016,223	CHANG ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ajay M. Bhatia	2145	

All Participants:

(1) Ajay M. Bhatia.

(2) Nari M. Meininger (32,428).

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 23 January 2007

Time: 10am

Type of Interview:

- ☐ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner contacted applicant's representative about the status of the case, but applicant's representative was not present.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 JASON CARDONE
 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)